

1 JS-6
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 VILLAGE PIZZERIA ENTERPRISES,
11 LLC,

12 Plaintiff,

13 vs.

14 STEVEN M. COHEN, individually and
15 doing business as VILLAGE
16 PIZZERIA,

17 Defendant.

18 Case No. CV08-7232 VBF (RCx)

JUDGMENT AND PERMANENT
INJUNCTION

Trial Date: December 8, 2009
Verdict Date: December 11, 2009

19 This cause came on to be heard, first by the jury on December 8, 2009,
20 December 9, 2009, December 10, 2009 and December 11, 2009, resulting in a
21 Verdict dated December 11, 2009 and then by the Court, sitting without a jury on
22 February 26, 2010, resulting in Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law regarding
23 Plaintiff's equitable claims. Lane E. Bender, Esq. of Silver & Freedman, APLC
24 appeared for Plaintiff Village Pizzeria Enterprises, LLC ("Plaintiff") and Thomas M.
25 O'Leary, Esq. of Ropers, Majeski, Kohn & Bentley and John A. Peterson, Esq. of
26 Early, Maslach & Van Dueck appeared for Defendant STEVEN M. COHEN,
27 individually and doing business as VILLAGE PIZZERIA ("Defendant").

1 On December 11, 2009 the jury returned the following verdict:

2 We the jury, being duly empaneled and sworn in the above-entitled cause, do
3 find as follows:

Section 1. Liability

5 1. On Plaintiff's claim for federal trademark infringement, do you find for
6 Plaintiff?

7 X Yes _____ No _____

8 2. If you answered yes to Question No. 1, was Defendant's use of the Village
9 Pizzeria word mark and stylized logo a "fair use"?

10

11 || Yes X No

12 3. On Plaintiff's claim for false designation of origin, do you find for
13 Plaintiff?

14 X Yes No

15 || 4. On Plaintiff's claim for cybersquatting, do you find for Plaintiff?

16 Yes X No

If you answered "yes" to Question 2 and you answered "no" to Questions 3 and 4, then please sign and date this verdict form and return it to the marshal.

20 If you answered "no" to Question 2 or if you answered "yes" to Questions 3
21 or 4, then please proceed to Section II of this form.

Section II. Monetary Recovery

23 || 5. State the amount of damages that should be awarded to Plaintiff, if any.

24 || \$ 0.00

25 6. Regardless of your answer to Question 5 above, state the amount of
26 Defendant's profits that should be awarded to Plaintiff, if any.

27 || \$ 0.00

1 7. Do you find that Defendant's conduct was intentional, willful or in bad
2 faith?

3 Yes No
4

5 The presiding juror should sign and date this verdict form and return it to the
6 marshall.

7 Dated this 11th day of December, 2009.
8

9 On February 26, 2010 the Court, sitting without a jury, heard and decided
10 Plaintiff's equitable claims, and determined the scope of injunctive relief.

11 Having heard the evidence and having considered the arguments and briefs of
12 counsel, based upon the Verdict and the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law
13 adopted by the Court, it is ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED as follows:

14 1. This Court has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter.

15 2. Plaintiff shall take judgment against Defendant for the First claim
16 alleged in the First Amended Complaint ("FAC") for Trademark Infringement (15
17 U.S.C. §1114), with no monetary damages.

18 3. Plaintiff shall take judgment against Defendant for the Second claim
19 alleged in the FAC for False Designation of Origin (15 U.S.C. §1125(a)), with no
20 monetary damages.

21 4. Defendant shall take judgment against Plaintiff for the Third claim
22 alleged in the FAC for Federal Trademark Dilution (15 U.S.C. §1125(c)).

23 5. Plaintiff shall take judgment against Defendant for the Fourth claim
24 alleged in the FAC for Common Law Trademark Infringement, with no monetary
25 damages.

26 6. Defendant shall take judgment against Plaintiff for the Fifth claim
27 alleged in the FAC for Cybersquatting (15 U.S.C. §1125(d)).
28

1 7. Defendant shall take judgment against Plaintiff for the Sixth claim
2 alleged in the FAC for Violation of California *Civil Code* §3344.

3 8. Defendant shall take judgment against Plaintiff for the Seventh claim
4 alleged in the FAC for Unfair Competition under California *Business & Professions*
5 *Code* §17200 et seq.

6 9. This is not an "exceptional" case for purposes of 15 U.S.C. §1117(c).

7 10. After considering the evidence and counsel's arguments, the Court
8 granted Plaintiff's request for permanent injunction as follows:

9 a. Defendant, including his officers, agents, servants, employees,
10 owners, partners, joint venturers and representatives, and all other persons or
11 entities in active concert or participation with Defendant, are hereby enjoined
12 from use of the Village Pizzeria logo as depicted in United States Patent and
13 Trademark Office, Trademark Registration No. 3103738 (hereafter "Mark")
14 or any confusingly similar or colorable imitation of the Mark, in connection
15 with operating any restaurant other than the restaurant located at 131 N.
16 Larchmont Avenue, Los Angeles, California ("Larchmont restaurant"),
17 including the restaurant presently located at 6363 Yucca Street, Hollywood,
18 California ("Hollywood restaurant").

19 b. Within ninety (90) days, Defendant is ordered to deliver for
20 destruction, or to show proof of said destruction or sufficient modification to
21 eliminate all articles, packages, wrappers, products, displays, labels, signs,
22 packaging, letterheads, business cards, literature, materials, receptacles, and
23 any other matter in the possession, custody, or under the control of Defendant
24 or his agents bearing the Mark in any form or manner whatsoever, or any
25 mark that is confusingly similar to or a colorable imitation of the Mark, both
26 alone and in combination with other words or terms, in connection with
27 operating the Hollywood restaurant.

1 c. Defendant is not enjoined from adorning the interior of
2 Defendant's Hollywood restaurant with historical photos of Defendant Cohen
3 with celebrities, which depict the permitted use of the Mark at the Larchmont
4 restaurant.

5 11. Plaintiff shall recover against Defendant its costs in an amount to be
6 determined by Local Rule 54.

7
8 DATE: March 5, 2010
9
10

Valerie Baker Fairbank

VALERIE BAKER FAIRBANK
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDG

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28